

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,325	03/13/2006	Keisuke Ohnishi	4496-11	8999
23117 7590 11/10/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TAN QUANG	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,325	OHNISHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TAN Q. NGUYEN	3661	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
   Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

s	ta	tu	s

1)  X	Responsive to	communication(s)	filed on 13	March 2005

- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### **Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) 
  ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
    - 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_
    - Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
  - \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/2005.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date.\_\_\_\_.

  5) Notice of Informal Pater Lapplication
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3661

## **DETAIL ACTION**

#### Notice to Applicant(s)

- This application has been examined. The preliminary amendment filed on October 17, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-27 are pending.
- 2. The prior art submitted on July 18, 2007 has been considered.
- Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. § 119, which have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title

5. Claims 14, 15 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 14, 15 and 27 drawn to functional descriptive material NOT claimed as residing on a computer readable medium. MPEP 2106.IV.B.1(a) (Functional Descriptive Material) states:

"Data structures not claimed as embodied in a computer-readable medium are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer."

"Such claimed data structures do not define any structural or functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized."

Art Unit: 3661

6. Claims 14, 15 and 27 while defining a program, do not define a "computer-readable medium" and is thus non-statutory for that reasons. A program can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" in order to make the claim statutory.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hoisko (6,671,618).
- 9. As per claim 1, Hoisko discloses the invention as claimed which includes a position information receiving means that acquiring position information from the GPS, position information analyzing means that analyzes the position received by the position information receiving means to calculate a present position (see at least column 4, lines 31-35), map information storing means for storing map information received from a server that provides navigation information (see at least column 4, lines 3-18), a central

Art Unit: 3661

processing means that calculates present position information based on the present position calculated by the position information analyzing means and map information stored in the map information storing means (see at least column 3, lines 45-54 and column 4, lines 35-52), and a vibration means for generating a vibration guide based on the present position (see at least column 3, lines 34-44 and column 4, lines 38-44).

- 10. As per claims 2-5, Hoisko further disclose the vibration pattern storing means for storing vibration patterns of the vibration guide generated by the vibration means (see at least column 5, line 63 to column 6, line 7).
- 11. With respect to claims 6-15, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejections and the Hoisko reference above. They are therefore considered rejected as set forth above.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 3661

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- Claims 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoisko as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Hashima et al. (2004/0204840).
- 15. With respect to claims 16, 17, 22 and 23, Hoisko discloses the claimed invention as discussed above except for the voice guide output means. However, such voice guide output means is well known and old at the time the invention was made to and as shown in at least paragraphs [0042], [0045] and [0046] of the Hashima et al. reference. It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to incorporate such teaching of Hashima et al. into the system of Hoisko in order to provide the voice guidance if desired.
- 16. With respect to claims 18-21 and 24-27, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejections above. They are therefore considered rejected as set forth above.

#### Conclusion

- 17. All claims are rejected.
- The following references are cited as being of general interest: Pellet et al. (6.614,352) and Akiyama (2003/0093216).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Tan Q. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 5:30 AM-4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (571) 272-6956.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the Official Fax Center: (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/TAN QUANG NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner

rimary Examiner Art Unit 3661

/tqn November 11, 2008